

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,240	04/08/2002	Michelle Marguerite Grundy	6825.US	8802	
7590 06/10/2005			EXAMINER		
St. Onge Steward Johnston & Reens, LLC 986 Bedford Street			LILLING, H	LILLING, HERBERT J	
Stamford, CT 06905			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1651		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/018,240	GRUNDY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HERBERT J. LILLING	1651		

	HERBERT J. LILLING	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		- C114 11-1	
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	•
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);	•	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	• —		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).		20 1	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		/III be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,11 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	d data NOT plant the secure of		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ાા does NO ા place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Argued limitations not in claims carry little weigh	t-insertion of both of the IR & NMF	R Figures would be co	nsidered

HERBERT LILLING Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651